

Request for Qualifications for Progressive Design Build – ADDENDUM NUMBER ONE

February 2, 2022

Western Washington University
Capital Planning and Development
Bellingham, Washington

The following changes for the RFQ documents shall become a part of the RFQ Documents. Where a portion of the Documents are modified or deleted by addenda, the unaltered portions of the Documents shall remain as indicated.

Questions and Answers:

Can you confirm that the funding listed in 1.2 of the RFQ is the total project cost, including design fees?

- Funding listed in 1.2 is the total project funding available for the project, including everything – design fee, construction costs, permits, FFE, WWU PM fees, other soft costs, owner’s maintenance assist, owner’s oversight, QA/QC, contingency etc. Guaranteed Maximum Price is in section 2.5 of the RFQ and is the most important funding amount for proposing teams to understand, which is \$3.65 million. The GMP includes discovery, design, construction, DB risk contingency, DB fee, sub consultants, buy out, associated taxes (phases 1 and 2 of the project), etc.

What is the percentage goal that the project is trying to exceed for BEE Participation?

- We do not have a specific goal but we want as much BEE participation and enhancement as possible, especially native participation and enhancement. It is also important to note that while percentage of participation is important, it is not the only aspect of inclusion of disadvantaged businesses that we hope to enhance with this project. A native workforce, native companies, small local trade companies, and other enhancement opportunities will also be highly valued.

In the Exhibit C, there is a reference to bonds “attached hereto”, however I don’t see any bond forms attached. Will AIA bonds be acceptable, and if not, please provide bond forms.

- Exhibit C doesn’t go into effect until the RFP portion. Industry standard forms are accepted, provided that the form does not have an exception for design services.

Is pollution insurance a required coverage under the contract? The RFQ refers to it, but the tables indicate n/a for limits.

- Since the site is not yet developed, pollution coverage is not required.

In section 2.7.9. Projects of Similar Scope and Complexity, gives a five-year window for similar projects. Given the timelines of culturally relevant projects tend to spread out, especially from a design perspective, and some of the longstanding history some firms have in culturally relevant work, we would like to list projects that are outside of this window. Will this present a problem for this process? Please confirm it is acceptable.

- We usually put a date in the definition of projects of similar scope and complexity to make sure that their experience isn't stale from the perspective of technology and best practice. Given that the issue is design rather than technology, etc, we are amendable to including relevant experience up to 10 years in the definition of Projects of Similar Scope and Complexity.

Can we include a landscape architect on the team? 5.3.1a lists who to submit about, and there is a statement that no others should be submitted.

- Not at this time, additional consultants will not be scored and should not be submitted with the SOQ.